

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/856.116 05/14/97 CHEN AMAT/1931 MM92/0425 **EXAMINER** PATENE COUNSEL PERALTA, G APPLIED MATERIALS INC P 0 BOX 450 A PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SANTA CLARA CA 95052 2814 04/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		08/856,116	CHEN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ginette Peralta	2814
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any   - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	7 February 2001	
1)⊠ 2a)⊟		repruary 2001 nis action is non-final	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the ments is 153 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
-	Claim(s) 15-18,21 and 23 is/are pending in the	e application.	
7,2	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)			
> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-18.21 and 23 is/are rejected.		
7.□ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		fig. of the top specification of the specification
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er,	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	re i ele e pagere grana a aren a alemana.	proved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	
Driority :	under 35 U.S.C. <b>§</b> 119		•
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	5 orio 60 under 25 H C C \$ 4107	The state of the s
,	-		a)-(u) or (r).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	to have been received.	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		on No
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• •
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e).
Attachmen	ıt(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  20) Other:			

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-18, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,308,793) in view of Zhao et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,674,787) and Sliwa et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,962,060).

Taguchi et al. teaches a method of filling a feature in a dielectric layer that comprises depositing a first barrier layer 21 over a blanket dielectric layer 20, forming a feature through the barrier layer and the dielectric layer to expose an underlayer, depositing a second barrier layer 22 on a bottom and sidewalls of the feature, and depositing a metal layer on the underlayer exposed in the feature, wherein the first and second barrier layers are comprised of silicon nitride (Si<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub>) and formed using chemical vapor deposition techniques, wherein the second barrier layer is removed from the bottom of the feature by sputter etching techniques, wherein the underlayer may comprise one of a source region or underlying interconnect of aluminum, and wherein the metal layer comprises a titanium or titanium nitride layer and aluminum.

Art Unit: 2814

Taguchi et al. teaches all the limitations in the claims with the exception of selectively depositing the metal layer on the underlayer exposed in the feature, and the metal layer comprising copper.

Zhao et al. teaches a method of filling a feature in a dielectric layer that comprises a blanket dielectric layer 12 of silicon oxide, forming a feature through the dielectric layer 12 to expose an underlayer, depositing a barrier layer 16 on a bottom and sidewalls in the feature, removing the barrier layer 16 formed at the bottom of the feature, and selectively depositing a metal layer on the underlayer exposed in the feature, wherein the metal layer comprised copper and the underlayer exposed comprises titanium nitride on which the copper is selectively deposited, for the purpose of using copper as the metallization metal because the use of aluminum, as used by Taguchi et al., is expensive and the hot physical vapor deposition of aluminum requires very high process temperatures that at times are not compatible with the manufacturing of integrated circuits.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a titanium nitride on the underlying interconnect of Taguchi et al. for the disclosed intended purpose of Zhao et al. of serving as an antireflection coating and as an electromigration/stress migration suppression layer, and to selectively deposit the copper layer on the titanium nitride of the underlayer exposed in order to form an interconnect of copper that requires lower process temperatures and to use a process of

Art Unit: 2814

selective deposition as copper is difficult to etch and this would eliminate or minimize a post-deposition etch-back step.

With regards to the feature of the deposition of the metal layer using electroplating techniques, Taguchi et al. as modified by Zhao et al. above, teaches the electroless plating of copper on a seed layer of the underlayer. It is well known and desirable in the art to use electroless plating and electroplating for the deposition of copper as both techniques are shows that it is well known and desirable in the art to in the art and widely used, for example Sliwa et al. teaches in Col. 7, ll. 41-51.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use electroplating or electroless plating techniques for the formation of copper as both processes are conventional and shows that it is well known and desirable in the art to techniques in the art.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-18, 21, 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginette Peralta whose telephone number is (703)305-7722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703)306-2794. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2814

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

GP April 21, 2001

> Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800